SHORT COMMUNICATION

Transfer of two *Helicoma* species to *Troposporella* based on molecular and morphological data

Clement Kin-ming Tsui · Mary L. Berbee

Received: 17 May 2009/Accepted: 11 September 2009/Published online: 26 January 2010 © The Mycological Society of Japan and Springer 2010

Abstract Previous molecular data demonstrated that, although Helicoma is polyphyletic, most species in the genus, including the type Helicoma muelleri, are in the Tubeufiaceae. Here, we use analysis of the small subunit and internal transcribed spacers (ITS) rDNA regions to show that two species, H. monilipes and H. olivaceum, are phylogenetically distant from the type, branching outside of the Tubeufiaceae near Troposporella fumosa in a basal clade of the Dothideomycetes. The phylogeny does not support the recent transfer of T. fumosa to Helicoma. Instead, based on the molecular evidence as well as the examination of cultures and type specimens, Troposporella is reinstated at the generic level, and Helicoma monilipes and Helicoma olivaceum are transferred to Troposporella. All three Troposporella species share the production of helicoid conidia borne on sporodochial conidiomata from blastic conidiogenous cells. A key to accepted species is provided.

Keywords Asexual fungi · Hyphomycetes · Tubeufia

Helicoma Corda is characterized by nonhygroscopic helically coiled conidia with thick conidial filaments (Linder

C. K. Tsui · M. L. Berbee

Department of Botany, #3529-6270 University Boulevard, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada

Present Address: C. K. Tsui (⊠) Department of Forest Science, Faculty of Forestry, The University of British Columbia, 2424 Main Mall, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada e-mail: clementsui@gmail.com

1929; Goos 1986, 1987). Previous research based on small subunit (SSU) and large subunit (LSU) rDNA showed that Helicoma was polyphyletic and none of the sections within the genus were monophyletic (Tsui and Berbee 2006; Tsui et al. 2006). Most Helicoma species clustered with Helicomyces and Helicosporium and belonged to the Tubeufiaceae sensu stricto (Tsui and Berbee 2006). However, two species from section monilipes, Helicoma monilipes Ellis & L.N. Johnson and H. olivaceum (P. Karst.) Linder, were only distantly related to the Tubeufiaceae sensu stricto and formed instead a basal lineage in Dothideomycetes (Tsui and Berbee 2006). These two species clustered with 100% bootstrap with Troposporella fumosa P. Karst. Further complicating the picture, T. fumosa had recently been transferred to Helicoma as Helicoma fumosa (P. Karst.) G.Z. Zhao, Xing Z. Liu & W.P. Wu (Zhao et al. 2007).

If Troposporella fumosa, Helicoma monilipes or H. olivaceum belong in Helicoma, they should form a monophyletic group with H. muelleri Corda, the type species of the genus. As a formal test of this relationship, we determined the SSU sequence for H. muelleri and aligned it with the sequences from fungi mentioned above and with other members of Tubeufiaceae and Dothideomycetes. We determined the internal transcribed spacers (ITS)1 and 2, including the 5.8S rDNA sequences for T. fumosa, H. monilipes, and H. olivaceum, to estimate the genetic distances among them. We examined the type and authentic specimens of H. monilipes and H. olivaceum, and compared their mode of conidiogenesis with Troposporella. Our final goal in this paper was to bring the taxonomy of T. fumosa, H. monilipes, and H. olivaceum into line with their phylogeny.

Cultures of *Helicoma monilipes*, *Helicoma olivaceum*, and *Troposporella fumosa* were requested from Centraalbureau voor Schimmelcultures (CBS) and Mycothèque de Table 1Cultures andspecimens of *Troposporella*species included in this study(Ellis 1971; Goos 1986)

	T. fumosa	T. monilipes	T. olivaceum
Culture/specimen	MUCL 15695	MUCL 19867/ FH 79123	CBS 728.83/co-type material from FH
Conidiophore (µm)	$100 \times 3-5$	$10-55 \times 2-4$	$10-30 \times 2-3$
Conidia color	Golden brown	Dark brown	Yellowish brown
Width of conidial filament (µm)	3–5	3.5–5	3.5-5.5
Number of septa	7–15	7–15	3–12

l'Université catholique de Louvain (MUCL) (Table 1). They were maintained on the recommended media, and sporulation was induced as described in Tsui and Berbee (2006). Type or authentic specimens of *H. monilipes* and *H. olivaceum* were also borrowed from Farlow Herbaria, Harvard (FH) for examination (Table 1). We attempted to include the materials of *T. hyalospora* P. Rag, Rao & D. Rao in this investigation but neither specimen nor culture was available.

DNA extraction was performed as described in Tsui and Berbee (2006). The SSU genes were amplified with SL1 and CITS5, while ITS regions were amplified with primers ITS1F and ITS4 (White et al. 1990). Briefly, 12.5 µl diluted DNA was amplified in a total volume of 25 µl using puReTaqTM Ready-To-GoTM polymerase chain reaction (PCR) beads (Amersham Biosciences Corp., Piscataway, NY, USA) with 10 μ l H₂O and 1.25 μ l of each of the two primer pairs (10 µM). Amplification was carried out in a GeneAmp[®] PCR system 9700 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) with 5 min of denaturation at 95°C, 35 cycles of 95°C for 20 s, 52-55°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 40 s, plus final extension at 72°C for 7 min. The PCR product was purified and the sequencing reactions were carried out using ABI PRISM[®] BigDye[®] Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems) (Tsui and Berbee 2006). The sequence fragments were assembled using ABI PRISM[®] AutoAssemblerTM v.1.4 (Applied Biosystems). GenBank accession numbers of the new sequences are DQ351723-DQ351725.

The sequences were aligned with Se-Al v.1 d1 (Rambaut 1999) and analyzed using PAUP* 4.0b10 (Swofford 2003). The SSU alignment contained 58 taxa, including the sequence of *H. muelleri* (type species of *Helicoma*), and was deposited in TreeBASE (SN4534-22842). The ITS alignment contained *Troposporella fumosa*, *T. monilipes*, and *T. olivaceum*, with *Helicoon fuscosporum* Linder as outgroup (Tsui and Berbee 2006). Maximum-parsimony (MP) analyses were conducted using a heuristic search with 100 random-sequence addition replicates, tree bisection-reconnection branch-swapping algorithms, and MAXTREE set to 100. All characters were equally weighted and unordered, and gaps were treated as missing data.

Bootstrap support for the branches was based on 1000 MP replicates with a single sequence addition replicate for each bootstrap replicate. A neighbor-joining (NJ) tree was also constructed using the Jukes–Cantor model as implemented in PAUP* 4.0b10, and the bootstrap support was also estimated based on 1000 replicates. A pairwise sequence comparison was performed.

Maximum-parsimony analysis of the SSU dataset resulted in a hundred equally parsimonious trees of 1076 steps (CI = 0.60, RI = 0.795, RC = 0.479) (Fig. 1). Out of 1714 characters, 1212 characters were constant and 328 were parsimony informative. A tree from neighbour joining gave the same topology. Helicoma monilipes and Troposporella fumosa, together with H. olivaceum, clustered together with 100% bootstrap support in Dothideomycetes (Fig. 1). This cluster formed a sister relationship to a clade with Helicoon fuscosporum and Spilocaea oleaginea (Castagne) S. Hughes. Molecular data also confirmed the placement of Helicoma muelleri in the Tubeufiaceae s. str. containing representatives of helicosporous fungi and closely related sexual relatives. Although Tuebufiaceae and Troposporella belong to the Dothideomycetes, they do not form a monophyletic group.

Troposporella was established to accommodate asexual fungi producing holoblastic, helicoid conidia from sporodochial conidiomata (Ellis 1971). Two former species, *T. monospora* (W.B. Kendr.) M.B. Ellis and *T. rigidospora* R.F. Castañeda & W.B. Kendr., had been transferred to *Slimacomyces* Minter and *Troposporopsis* S.R. Whitton, McKenzie & K.D. Hyde, respectively (Minter 1986; Whitton et al. 1999). Representatives of *Troposporella* share morphological similarity to *Helicoma* in having thick conidial filaments borne on macronematous conidiophores with blastic conidiogenous cells (Goos 1987). However the conidia in *Troposporella* do not secede readily, while those in *Helicoma* are borne on denticulate conidiophores and secede schizolytically (Kendrick 2003).

Although *Troposporella fumosa* (MUCL 15695) did not sporulate readily in culture and we were not able to examine the type specimen, its culture characteristics match the description of the species (Ellis 1971, 1976). Morphologically the placement of *H. monilipes* and



____5 changes

Fig. 1 A parsimony tree from ribosomal SSU data supports the transfer of *T. monilipes* and *T. olivaceum* from *Helicoma* to *Troposporella*. *Troposporella fumosa*, *T. monilipes*, and *T. olivaceum* are

H. olivaceum in *Troposporella* is appropriate, as circumscribed in Ellis (1971, 1976). Both species produce macronematous, frequently branched conidiophores that form punctiform conidiomata on natural substrata. In contrast, the conidiophores of *Helicoma* are erect, unbranched, and often solitary (Goos 1986).

only distantly related to *Helicoma muelleri*, the *Helicoma* type species. Bootstrap percentage values (>70%) generated from 1000 replicates from MP and NJ are shown above the branches

Taxonomy

Troposporella is returned to generic rank and the names of type species, *Troposporella fumosa* (Linder 1929) and a second species *T. hyalospora* (Rao and Rao 1964), are reinstated. Additional combinations include the following:

Figs. 2–5 Interference contrast micrographs of *Troposporella*. 2–3 Conidia of *T. monilipes* (FH79123). 4 Squash mount of conidia and conidiophores of *T. monilipes* (FH79123). 5 Conidia and conidiophores of *T. olivaceum* with monoblastic conidiogenous cells (CBS 728.83) *Bars* 2–4 = 20 μ m, 5 = 25 μ m



Troposporella monilipes(Ellis & L.N. Johnson) K.M.Tsui & Berbee comb. nov.Figs. 2-4

Basionym: *Helicoma monilipes* Ellis & L.N. Johnson, Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila. p. 376: 1894

=Helicosporium monilipes (Ellis & L.N. Johnson) Sacc. Syll. Fung. 11:369, 1895

Material examined. USA: Michigan, Ann Arbor, on Quercus (FH 79123—L.N. Johnson No. 666) on decayed wood, Lovell, Maine (KIRI—J. Legg).

Troposporella olivaceum(P. Karst.) K.M. Tsui &Berbee comb. nov.Fig. 5

Basionym: *Helicopsis olivaceus* P. Karst., Rev. Mycol. 11:96, 1889.

=Helicopsis punctata Peck. N.Y. State Mus. Bull. 167:26, 1912.

=Helicoma olivaceum (P. Karst.) Linder. Ann. Mo. Bot. Gard. 16:302, 1929.

Material examined. USA: on bark of *Prunus*. April 1911, Fairview, New York (FH—co-type).

Key to species of *Troposporella* based on literature (Linder 1929; Rao and Rao 1964; Goos 1986)

1. Conidiophores strongly constricted at septa, conidia golden brown, 12–16 μ m in diameter, filament 4–4.5 μ m thick...*T. fumosa*

1. Conidiophores smooth cylindrical, conidia different from above...2

2. Conidia subhyaline, 10–14.5 μm in diameter, filament 3–4.8 μm thick... *T. hyalospora*

2. Conidia mostly brown...3

3. Conidia yellowish brown, $11-16 \mu m$ in diameter, filaments 3.5–5.5 μm thick...*T. olivaceum*

3. Conidia dark brown, 12–19 μ m in diameter, filaments 3.5–5 μ m thick...*T. monilipes*

Notes. We also determined the ITS sequences for *Troposporella fumosa*, *T. monilipes*, and *T. olivaceum*; the results from BLASTN revealed no closely related taxa from *Tubeufiaceae*, confirming they are divergent from *Helicoma* representatives.

Maximum-parsimony analysis of the ITS data set containing *T. fumosa*, *T. monilipes*, and *T. olivaceum* with *Helicoon fuscosporum* as the outgroup resulted in 1 tree that required 179 steps (CI = 0.98, RI = 0.81, RC = 0.80) (not illustrated). Out of 624 characters, 469 were constant and 16 characters were parsimony informative. *Troposporella monilipes* was closest to *T. fumosa*, with 97% sequence identity through pairwise comparison of the ITS sequences. *T. olivaceum* differed from *T. fumosa* and *T. monilipes* by 9% and 7.8%, respectively.

Acknowledgments We are grateful to the Croucher Foundation, Hong Kong and NSERC, Canada for the award of a postdoctoral fellowship to C.K.M. Tsui and financial support. SeaRa Lim is thanked for technical assistance. Genevieve Lewis-Gentry and Emily Wood from FH are thanked for the loan of herbarium specimen and technical assistance.

References

- Ellis MB (1971) Dematiaceous hyphomycetes. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew
- Ellis MB (1976) More dematiaceous hyphomycetes. Commonwealth Mycological Institute, Kew
- Goos RD (1986) A review of the anamorph genera *Helicoma*. Mycologia 78:744–761
- Goos RD (1987) Fungi with a twist: the helicosporous hyphomycetes. Mycologia 79:1–22
- Kendrick B (2003) Analysis of morphogenesis in hyphomycetes: new characters derived from considering some conidiophores and conidia as condensed hyphal systems. Can J Bot 81:75–100
- Linder DH (1929) A monograph of the helicosporous fungi. Ann Missouri Bot Gard 16:227–238
- Minter DW (1986) Foray reports: upland foray. Sutherland. Bull Br Mycol Soc 20:17–24
- Rambaut A (1999) Se-Al: sequence alignment editor. Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford. Available at http://evolve. zoo.ox.ac.uk/

- Rao PR, Rao D (1964) Some helicosporae from Hyderabad. I. Mycopathol Mycol Appl 22:47–54
- Swofford DL (2003) PAUP*. Phylogenetic analysis using parsimony (*and other methods). Sinauer, Sunderland
- Tsui CKM, Berbee ML (2006) Phylogenetic relationships and convergence of helicosporous fungi inferred from ribosomal DNA sequences. Mol Phylogenet Evol 39:587–597
- Tsui CKM, Sivichai S, Berbee ML (2006) Molecular systematics of *Helicoma, Helicomyces* and *Helicosporium* and their teleomorphs inferred from rDNA. Mycologia 98:100–110
- White TJ, Bruns T, Lee S, Taylor J (1990) Amplification and direct sequencing of fungal ribosomal RNA genes for phylogenetics. In: Gelfand M, Sninsky D, White T (eds) PCR protocols. A guide to methods and applications. Academic, San Diego, pp 315–322
- Whitton SR, McKenzie EHC, Hyde KD (1999) Microfungi on the Pandanaceae: *Troposporopsis* gen. nov. Fungal Divers 3:173– 177
- Zhao GZ, Liu XZ, Wu WP (2007) Helicosporous hyphomycetes from China. Fungal Divers 26:313–524